



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,296	04/01/2004	Michelle LaBrosse	CHEE-0011	7330
23550	7590	05/18/2010	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK LLC			WU, RUTAO	
75 STATE STREET			ART UNIT	
14TH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
ALBANY, NY 12207			3628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/815,296	Applicant(s) LABROSSE ET AL.	
	Examiner ROB WU	Art Unit 3628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,17-20 and 22-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,17-20 and 22-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/05/2010 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-9, 17-20, 22-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 17, 18, 20 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub No 2002/0156787 to Jameson et al in view of U.S.

Art Unit: 3628

Pub No 2003/0200192 to Bell et al in view of U.S. Pub No 2002/0128934 to Shaer in further view of U.S. Pub No 2005/0192883 to Cotton et al.

Referring to Claim 1:

A system comprising:

At least one computer device configured to performed a method for integrating event management, the method including:

Jameson et al do not expressly disclose
managing customer information for customers of an event provider stored in a customer database;

Bell et al disclose a customer database storing information and interest of customers that want to be notified of an event. (Fig 1), [0061]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al to combine the customer database as disclosed by Bell et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the customer database would perform the same functions with the event notifying system of Jameson et al as it did separately.

Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Jameson et al disclose managing event information for events provided by the event provider stored in an event database; [0061], [0071] and

Jameson et al disclose contacting customers of the event provider using the customer database and the event database,[0079] the marketing system including:

informing a group of customers of an event, wherein the event requires payment for an individual to attend and attendance at the event is not limited to invited individuals only, [0071], [0073], and

Jameson et al do not expressly disclose wherein the group of customers is identified as having a possible interest in attending the event using customer information stored in the customer database;

Bell et al disclose a customer database storing customer information and notifying the customer of events based on the customers' interests. (Fig 1), [0061]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al to combine the customer database as disclosed by Bell et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the customer database would perform the same functions with the event notifying system of Jameson et al as it did separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

and

Jameson et al and Bell et al do not expressly disclose obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event, wherein the feedback is obtained using information contained in the customer database and the event database.

Shaer disclose a feedback system of an event planning system for obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event, [0196] therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3628

invention was made for Jameson et al and Bell et al to combine the feedback system as disclosed by Shaer since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the feedback system each element merely would have performed the same function to collect feedback as it did separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable to teach a feedback system of an event planning system for obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event wherein the feedback is obtained using information contained in the customer database and event database.

Jameson et al, Bell et al or Shaer do not expressly disclose analyzing the event and, based on the analyzing, generating a report to indicate an effectiveness of marketing the event and a quality of the event.

Cotton et al disclose a promotional event planning system where data related to the event is received and evaluated for the success of the promotion event.

[0101]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al, Bell et al, Shaer to include the event analyzation as disclosed by Cotton et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the Cotton et al reference would have taken the feedback data supplied by Shaer to perform the evaluation as it would have performed separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Referring to Claim 2:

Jameson et al disclose the system of claim 1, the method further including managing accounting information for each event. (Fig 16, 17, 21, 22)

Referring to Claim 3:

Jameson et al the system of claim 1, the method further including processing electronic payment for an individual to attend an event, (Fig 21, 22) [0093], [0094]

Referring to Claim 5:

Jameson et al disclose the system of claim 1, wherein customers are contacted by at least one of: an e-mail, a newsletter, or a telephone call. [0079]

Referring to Claim 6:

Jameson et al disclose the system of claim 1, wherein the managing customer information includes:

providing customer information and event information for a display to a user; (Fig 11-22

allowing a customer to manage the customer information; [0101] and

allowing an event provider to manage the customer information. [0076]

Referring to Claim 7:

Jameson et al disclose the system of claim 1, wherein the managing event information includes:

allowing an event provider to manage the event; [0075], [0076] and

allowing a customer to register for the event. [0095]

Referring to Claim 8:

Jameson et al disclose the system of claim 7, wherein the managing event information further includes providing data on the event to a customer that has registered for the event. [0096], [0097]

Referring to Claim 9:

Jameson et al disclose the system of claim 7, wherein the managing event information further includes limiting access to functionality of the event management system. [0068]

Referring to Claim 17:

A computer program product embodied on a computer useable storage medium having computer readable program code embodied therein, which enables a computer system to implement a method for integrating event management, the method comprising:

Jameson et al do not expressly disclose managing customer information for customer of an event provider stored in a customer database;

Bell et al disclose a customer database storing information and interest of customers that want to be notified of an event. (Fig 1), [0061]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al to combine the customer database as disclosed by Bell et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the customer database would perform the same functions with the event notifying system of Jameson et al as it did separately.

Art Unit: 3628

Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Jameson et al disclose managing event information for events provided by the event provider stored in an event database; [0061], [0071] and

Jameson et al disclose marketing an event of the event provider to customers of the event provider using the customer database and the event database, wherein the event requires payment for an individual to attend, and wherein the marketing includes [0071], [0073], [0079]:

Jameson et al do not expressly disclose promoting the event to a group of customers using email, wherein the group of customers is identified as having a possible interest in attending the event using customer information stored in the customer database;

Bell et al disclose a customer database storing customer information and notifying the customer of events based on the customers' interests. (Fig 1), [0061]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al to combine the customer database as disclosed by Bell et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the customer database would perform the same functions with the event notifying system of Jameson et al as it did separately.

Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

and

Jameson et al and Bell et al do not expressly disclose obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event, wherein the feedback is obtained using information contained in the customer database and the event database.

Shaer disclose a feedback system of an event planning system for obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event, [0196] therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al and Bell et al to combine the feedback system as disclosed by Shaer since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the feedback system each element merely would have performed the same function to collect feedback as it did separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable to teach a feedback system of an event planning system for obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event wherein the feedback is obtained using information contained in the customer database and event database.

Jameson et al, Bell et al or Shaer do not expressly disclose analyzing the event and, based on the analyzing, generating a report to indicate an effectiveness of marketing the event and a quality of the event.

Cotton et al disclose a promotional event planning system where data related to the event is received and evaluated for the success of the promotion event. [0101]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al, Bell et al, Shaer to include the

Art Unit: 3628

event analyzation as disclosed by Cotton et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the Cotton et al reference would have taken the feedback data supplied by Shaer to perform the evaluation as it would have performed separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Referring to Claim 18:

Jameson et al disclose the computer program product of claim 17, the method further comprising:

managing accounting information for each event; (Fig 16, 17, 21, 22) and
processing payment by a customer for an event. (Fig 21, 22) [0093], [0094]

Referring to Claim 20:

Jameson et al disclose the computer program product of claim 17, wherein managing customer information includes allowing each customer to manage the customer information for the customer. [0101]

Referring to Claim 22:

A computerized method for integrating event management, the method comprising:

Jameson et al do not expressly disclose

managing customer information for customers of an event provider stored
in a customer database using a computer system including at least one computing
device;

Bell et al disclose a customer database storing information and interest of customers that want to be notified of an event. (Fig 1), [0061]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al to combine the customer database as disclosed by Bell et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the customer database would perform the same functions with the event notifying system of Jameson et al as it did separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Jameson et al disclose managing event information for events provided by the event provider stored in an event database using the computer system; [0061], [0071] and

Jameson et al disclose contacting customers of the event provider using the customer database and the event database using the computer system,[0079] the contacting including:

informing a group of customers of an event, wherein the event requires payment for an individual to attend and attendance at the event is not limited to invited individuals only, [0071], [0073], and

Jameson et al do not expressly disclose wherein the group of customers is identified as having a possible interest in attending the event using customer information stored in the customer database;

Bell et al disclose a customer database storing customer information and notifying the customer of events based on the customers' interests. (Fig 1), [0061]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al to combine the customer database as disclosed by Bell et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the customer database would perform the same functions with the event notifying system of Jameson et al as it did separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

and

Jameson et al and Bell et al do not expressly disclose obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event, wherein the feedback is obtained using information contained in the customer database and the event database.

Shaer disclose a feedback system of an event planning system for obtaining feedback from a group of customers that attended the event, [0196] therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al and Bell et al to combine the feedback system as disclosed by Shaer since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the feedback system each element merely would have performed the same function to collect feedback as it did separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable to teach a feedback system of an event planning system for obtaining

Art Unit: 3628

feedback from a group of customers that attended the event wherein the feedback is obtained using information contained in the customer database and event database.

Jameson et al, Bell et al or Shaer do not expressly disclose analyzing the event and, based on the analyzing, generating a report to indicate an effectiveness of marketing the event and a quality of the event.

Cotton et al disclose a promotional event planning system where data related to the event is received and evaluated for the success of the promotion event.

[0101]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Jameson et al, Bell et al, Shaer to include the event analyzation as disclosed by Cotton et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination the Cotton et al reference would have taken the feedback data supplied by Shaer to perform the evaluation as it would have performed separately. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Referring to Claim 23:

Jameson et al disclose the method of claim 22, further comprising managing accounting information for each event using the computer system, the managing including accounting for revenue received as a result of providing the event and deducting expenses for the event. (Fig 16, 17, 21, 22)

Referring to Claim 24:

Jameson et al the method of claim 22, further comprising processing electronic payment for an individual to attend an event using the computer system, (Fig 21, 22) [0093], [0094]

Referring to Claim 25:

Jameson et al disclose the method of claim 22, wherein customers are contacted by at least one of: an e-mail, a newsletter, or a telephone call. [0079]

Referring to Claim 26:

Jameson et al disclose the method of claim 22, wherein the managing customer information includes:

providing customer information and event information for a display to a user; (Fig 11-22

allowing a customer to manage the customer information, the customer information including contact information and a subscription status; [0101] and

allowing an event provider to manage the customer information. [0076]

Referring to Claim 27:

Jameson et al disclose the method of claim 22, wherein the managing customer information includes:

allowing an event provider to manage the event; [0075], [0076] and

allowing a customer to register for the event. [0095]

Referring to Claim 28:

Jameson et al disclose the method of claim 27, wherein the managing customer information further includes providing data on the event to a customer that has registered for the event. [0096], [0097]

Referring to Claim 29:

Jameson et al disclose the method of claim 27, wherein the managing customer information further includes limiting access to functionality of the event management system. [0068]

5. Claims 19 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub No 2002/0153787 to Jameson et al in view of U.S. Pub No 2003/0200192 to Bell et al in view of Cotton et al in further view of U.S. Pub No 2002/0128934 to Shaer in further view of Official Notice.

Referring to Claim 19:

The computer program product of claim 17, wherein the program code configured to manage event information includes:

Jameson et al disclose sending attendee URL links of the event for attendee to register. [0073], [0095]

Jameson et al combined with Bell et al and Shaer do not expressly disclose placing the URL to the event registration on a third party website.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that placing links on third party websites, or URL referral service, is old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3628

made for Jameson et al to allow the event planners to place the URL of the event registration page on a third party website for attendees to access.

Jameson et al disclose program code configured to limit access to even information and management functions based on a security level of a user; [0068]

Program code configured to register a customer for the event using the vent registration web page; [0095] and

Program code configured to provide additional event information to the registered customer. [0096], [0097]

Referring to Claim 30:

Jameson et al, Bell et al, Cotton et al and Shaer do not expressly disclose wherein the event provider is a third party, the method further comprising receiving payment from the third party in return for integrating event management for an event provided by the third party. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known for a third party to provide payment for a service to be performed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the party that is providing the event to provide payment for using the integrating event management service

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROB WU whose telephone number is (571)272-3136. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5.

Art Unit: 3628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571)272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rob Wu/
Examiner, Art Unit 3628